



**COMPREHENSIVE DESIGN EXAMPLE FOR PRESTRESSED
CONCRETE (PSC) GIRDER SUPERSTRUCTURE BRIDGE
WITH COMMENTARY**
(Task order DTFH61-02-T-63032)

US CUSTOMARY UNITS

Submitted to
THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Prepared By
Modjeski and Masters, Inc.

March 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
2. EXAMPLE BRIDGE	2-1
2.1 Bridge geometry and materials.....	2-1
2.2 Girder geometry and section properties	2-4
2.3 Effective flange width	2-10
3. FLOWCHARTS	3-1
4. DESIGN OF DECK	4-1
5. DESIGN OF SUPERSTRUCTURE	
5.1 Live load distribution factors	5-1
5.2 Dead load calculations.....	5-10
5.3 Unfactored and factored load effects.....	5-13
5.4 Loss of prestress	5-27
5.5 Stress in prestressing strands	5-36
5.6 Design for flexure	
5.6.1 Flexural stress at transfer	5-46
5.6.2 Final flexural stress under Service I limit state	5-49
5.6.3 Longitudinal steel at top of girder.....	5-61
5.6.4 Flexural resistance at the strength limit state in positive moment region	5-63
5.6.5 Continuity correction at intermediate support	5-67
5.6.6 Fatigue in prestressed steel	5-75
5.6.7 Camber.....	5-75
5.6.8 Optional live load deflection check	5-80
5.7 Design for shear	5-82
5.7.1 Critical section for shear near the end support	5-84
5.7.2 Shear analysis for a section in the positive moment region.....	5-85
5.7.3 Shear analysis for sections in the negative moment region	5-93
5.7.4 Factored bursting resistance.....	5-101
5.7.5 Confinement reinforcement	5-102
5.7.6 Force in the longitudinal reinforcement including the effect of the applied shear	5-104
6. DESIGN OF BEARINGS	6-1

7. DESIGN OF SUBSTRUCTURE	7-1
7.1. Design of Integral Abutments	
7.1.1 Gravity loads.....	7-6
7.1.2 Pile cap design	7-11
7.1.3 Piles.....	7-12
7.1.4 Backwall design.....	7-16
7.1.5 Wingwall design	7-30
7.1.6 Design of approach slab.....	7-34
7.1.7 Sleeper slab	7-37
7.2. Design of Intermediate Pier	
7.2.1 Substructure loads and application	7-38
7.2.2 Pier cap design	7-51
7.2.3 Column design	7-66
7.2.4 Footing design.....	7-75

Appendix A - Comparisons of Computer Program Results (QConBridge and Opis)

Section A1- QConBridge Input.....	A1
Section A2- QConBridge Output	A3
Section A3- Opis Input.....	A10
Section A4- Opis Output	A47
Section A5- Comparison Between the Hand Calculations and the Two Computer Programs.....	A55
Section A6- Flexural Resistance Sample Calculation from Opis to Compare with Hand Calculations	A58

Appendix B - General Guidelines for Refined Analysis of Deck Slabs**Appendix C - Example of Creep and Shrinkage Calculations**